SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS P.C.

Jeffrey J. Greenbaum Katherine M. Lieb One Riverfront Plaza Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 643-7000

## PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP

Adeel A. Mangi
Harry Sandick (admitted *pro hac vice*)
George LoBiondo
Sara Arrow
Julia Haigney Long (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Elisabeth Shane (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Katherine Brisson (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 336-2000

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems Inc.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JOHNSON & JOHNSON :

HEALTH CARE SYSTEMS INC., Civil Action No. 22-2632(ES)(CLW)

:

Plaintiff, Hon. Esther Salas, U.S.D.J.

: Hon. Cathy L. Waldor, U.S.M.J

VS.

SAVE ON SP, LLC,

ORDER GRANTING
: MOTION TO SEAL

Defendant.

:

This matter having been brought to the Court upon the joint motion of Plaintiff Johnson & Johnson Health Care Systems, Inc. ("JJHCS") and Defendant Save On SP, LLC ("SaveOnSP"), by and through their attorneys, seeking an order to (i) permanently maintain under seal the parties' joint letter, dated October 25, 2023, regarding JJHCS custodians and accompanying exhibits (ECF No. 165); (ii) permanently maintain under seal the parties' joint letter, dated October 25, 2023, regarding CAP search terms and accompanying exhibits (ECF No. 166); (iii) permanently maintain under seal the transcript of the hearing of October 30, 2023 (ECF No. 171); (iv) permit the parties to file the proposed public version of ECF No. 165, attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Jeffrey J. Greenbaum submitted in support of this motion ("Greenbaum Declaration"); (v) permit the parties to file the proposed public version of ECF No. 166, attached as Exhibit B to the Greenbaum Declaration; and (vi) permit the parties to file the proposed public version of ECF No. 171, attached as Exhibit C to the Greenbaum Declaration; and the Court having considered the joint motion, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law, pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5.3(c)(6):

(1) As to JJHCS's request to seal its confidential materials, the Court finds that Exhibits 4, 6–12 & 14–147, JJHCS Exhibits 2–8 and discussions thereof within the October 25 Letter regarding custodians (ECF No. 165); Exhibits 1–17 & 23 and discussions thereof within the October 25 Letter

regarding CAP search terms (ECF No. 166); and certain portions of the transcript of the hearing on October 30, 2023 (ECF No. 171) (collectively, "the JJHCS Confidential Materials") contain information that is non-public business, trade secret and/or proprietary information involving the administration of the Janssen CarePath Program and the JJHCS business.

- (2) The Court further finds that the JJHCS Confidential Materials contain highly sensitive, proprietary business information of JJHCS that is not known to the general public.
- (3) The Court further finds that the parties have safeguarded and protected the confidentiality of the JJHCS Confidential Materials, including throughout the pendency of this action.
- (4) The Court further finds that JJHCS would suffer substantial and specific harm, including but not limited to, potential financial damage and disclosure of competitive business information through the divulgence of such confidential information, that JJHCS has a strong and legitimate interest in protecting this confidential information from being disclosed to the public, and that no less restrictive alternative exists.
- (5) For these reasons, good cause exists for protecting the JJHCS

  Confidential Materials pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c)(1)(G) and L.

Civ. R. 5.3(c)(2).

- (6) As to the information that SaveOnSP seeks to seal, the Court finds that JJHCS Exhibit 1 and certain statements within the October 25, 2023 JJHCS Custodians Letter [ECF No. 165], Exhibits 20 and 22, JJHCS Exhibits 1-3, and certain statements within the October 25, 2023 CAP Search Terms Letter [ECF No. 166], and certain statements within the October 30, 2023 Transcript [ECF No. 171] consist of SaveOnSP's confidential health and/or proprietary business information.
- (7) Avoiding the public disclosure of this information is necessary to prevent harm to the parties. *See e.g.*, *Rosario v. Doe*, No. CIV. 08-5185 RMB, 2013 WL 3283903, at \*2-3 (D.N.J. June 25, 2013) (sealing records containing private medical information or proprietary information); *Goldenberg v. Indel, Inc.*, 2012 WL 15909, at \*3 (D.N.J. Jan. 3, 2012) (granting motion to seal "commercially sensitive and proprietary non-public business information"); *Bracco Diagnostics, Inc. v. Amersham Health Inc.*, 2007 WL 2085350, at \*9-10 (D.N.J. July 18, 2007) (granting motion to seal where the public availability of documents containing confidential business information would have put a party at a competitive disadvantage).

- (8) It is believed that no less restrictive alternative is available to prevent the disclosure of SaveOnSP's proprietary business information. This Motion to Seal has been narrowly tailored to seek sealing as to only those materials that have been deemed confidential.
- (9) Upon consideration of the papers submitted in support of the Motion and the materials that SaveOnSP has designated as Confidential, the Court concludes that SaveOnSP has met its burden of proving, under L. Civ. R. 5.3 and applicable case law, that the information should be filed under seal. Specifically, the Court concludes that: (a) Letter dated October 25, 2023 regarding JJHCS Custodians [ECF 165] and its JJHCS Exhibit 1 contain confidential information; (b) Letter dated October 25, 2023 regarding CAP Search Terms [ECF 166], Exhibits 20 and 22, and JJHCS Exhibits 1-3 contain confidential information; (c) the October 30, 2023 Transcript [ECF No. 171] contains confidential information; (d) the parties have a legitimate interest in maintaining the confidentiality of this information in order to protect against disclosure; (e) public disclosure of this information would result in clearly defined and serious injury; and (f) no less restrictive alternative to sealing the subject documents is available.

(10) The foregoing conclusions are supported by relevant case law holding that the right of public access to court filings is not absolute and may be overcome by a showing such as made here, in the discretion of the trial court. See Nixon v. Warner Comms., Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 603 (1978). The Court, upon such a proper showing, may in its discretion prevent confidential materials from being transmuted into materials presumptively subject to public access. Leucadia, Inc. v. Applied Extrusion Techs., Inc., 998 F.2d 157, 166 (3d Cir. 1993).

IT IS ON THIS	day of	, 2023

ORDERED, that pursuant to L. Civ. R. 5.3, the JJHCS Confidential Materials are confidential and entitled to protection; and it is further

ORDERED, that pursuant to L. Civ. R. 5.3, the SaveOnSP Confidential Materials are confidential and entitled to protection; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion to seal is GRANTED and ECF Nos. 165, 166 & 171 are hereby permanently SEALED; and it is further

ORDERED that JJHCS shall file the proposed public version of ECF Nos. 165, 166 & 171, attached as Exhibits A, B & C to the Greenbaum Declaration, within five days of the date of this Order.

HON, CATHY L. WALDOR